





United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/835,992	04/17/2001	Yuichi Obata	L0461/7112 (JRV/MXA)	6680
23628 7:	590 03/14/2003			
WOLF GREENFIELD & SACKS, PC FEDERAL RESERVE PLAZA 600 ATLANTIC AVENUE			EXAMINER	
			HOLLERAN, ANNE L 16	
BOSTON, MA	A 02210-2211		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1642	
			DATE MAILED: 03/14/2003	i e

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary Examiner			Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Anne Holleran The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. If the period for reply specified above is less then thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory remind und price of the capture of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less then thinty (30) days, a reply within the statutory remind und price of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less then thinty (30) days, as reply with the statutory remind und price of the communication. If the period for reply specified above is less then thinty (30) days, as the considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the maintima enterinary period will apply advit all gives (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above, the maintima enterinary period will apply advit (30) days with be considered timely. If the period for reply specified to the communication. A price of the specified above the maintima and the river mainting date of this communication, even it timely filed, may reduce any seamed application. Status Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 November 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quaryle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1.32 (slare pending in the application. 4) Of the above claim(s) 1.12 and 16.30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 1.3-15.31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.3-15.31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 1.3-15.31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) The drawning is filed on 1.5-15.15 and 1.3-15.15	Office Action Summary		09/835,992	OBATA, YUICHI				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address — Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be analysis under the provisions of 3 CRR 1.138(a). In no event, however, may a reply be limitely filled to the period for reply specified above it less than thirty (30) says, a reply within the standary minimum of thirty (30) says, will be considered timely. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) says, a reply with the standary minimum of thirty (30) says, as the periodical for reply with the standary period via globy and via replies SV, MONTHS from the milling date of this communication. Final the imply which he sait or estandard principle will by standard, cause the application to become ABANGONED (30 U.S.C.§ 133). If the period for reply specified above. It is an analysis of the communication of the			Examiner	Art Unit				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of those may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled. Extensions of those may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled. Extensions of the may be evaluable under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.38(a). In no event, however, may a raply be timely filled. If the period for reply septidal aboves, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expose 31X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The period for reply septidal apply and will expense 31X (b) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filled, may reduce any examel patient term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.784(b). Status 1) M Responsive to communication(s) filled on 19 November 2002. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) M This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Exp parts Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 3-15.31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are allowed. 11 perposed drawing correction filed on is are subjected to by the Examiner. 12 The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The proposed drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C			Anne Holleran	1642				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Enterosico from any be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.75(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed Enterosico from any be available under the provisions of 37 CPR 1.75(b), in no event, however, may a reply be timely filed If the ported for reply specified above is less than timy (30) days, a reply which he studenty reinfament of thiny (30) days will be considered timely. If his port of reply specified above is less than timy (30) days, a reply which he studenty reinfament and the maining date of this communication. Falliable to really which his text of extended period for reply will, by students cause the application to become APANCORED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Period of the port of the port of the provision of the reply will, by students cause the application to become APANCORED (33 U.S.C. § 133). Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5b) Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7b) Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7c) Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7d) Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7e) Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) sceepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 110 The proposed drawing correction filed on is/are: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 part of each or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. 11 certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage a	_							
2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 13-15, 31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 7) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ○ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 9) ○ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. Application Papers 9) ○ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in have application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). *See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) □ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s)	1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 N	lovember 2002 .					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) ○ Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ○ Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) □ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) □ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) □ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) □ approved b) □ disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) □ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) □ All b) □ Some * c) □ None of: 1. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. □ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. □ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 15 □ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.		This action is FINAL. 2b)⊠ Th	is action is non-final.					
Disposition of Claims 4)	3)	3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
4) Claim(s) 1-32 Is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s)	Dispositi							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 1-12 and 16-30 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s)								
6) Claim(s) 13-15,31 and 32 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s)								
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	5)							
8 Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9 The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10 The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11 The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12 The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13 Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.	6)🖂	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·						
Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Braftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 1) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 10 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner. If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.							
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action. 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)								
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)	a)∐ All b)∐ Some * c)⊡ None of:							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application). a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 1 Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
a) The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121. Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)								
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	Attachment(s)							
σ, <u> </u>	2) Notice	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal P					

Art Unit: 1642

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's election with traverse of Group I, claims 13-17 (in part), 31 and 32 (in part) in Paper No. 9, filed Nov. 19, 2002, is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that there would not be a serious burden on the examiner to examine both groups, because both groups are drawn to methods for assay of antibodies and the antibodies bind to antigens that are related in that they are both discovered in serological testing of gastric cancer patients, and appear to share common epitopes. This is not found persuasive because, the antigens are separate and distinct polypeptides that are unrelated to each other, and require different searches in the non-Patent literature and in the sequence databases. Applicant has failed to point out any specific errors in the restriction requirement, and supplied no evidence on the record that the two antigens are structurally related.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

2. Claims 13-15 (to the extent the claims are drawn to methods for detecting antibodies that bind to sterol carrier protein-x/sterol carrier protein-2), 31 and 32 (to the extent the claims are drawn to methods for detecting antibodies that bind to sterol carrier protein-x/sterol carrier protein-2) are examined on the merits.

Claims 1-32 are pending.

Claims 1-12, and 16-30, drawn to non-elected inventions, are withdrawn from consideration.

Page 2

Art Unit: 1642

Information Disclosure Statement

Page 3

3. Reference C12 was not considered, because it was not found with parent file 08/896,164.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 13 is objected to because of the following informalities: claim 13 appears to be drawn to a method for determining gastric cancer in a sample. Because samples do not have diseases, clam 13 appears to be grammatically incorrect. Appropriate correction is required.
- 5. Claims 13-15, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 13 and 31 are indefinite, because they recite "related condition", where the condition is "related" to gastric cancer. The specification contains no definition of what conditions are within the scope of the term "related condition". Therefore, the scope of the claim is unclear.

6. Claims 13-15, and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

The basis for this rejection is that the specification lacks a written description of the genus of conditions that are to be determined by the operation of the claimed method.

Page 4

Application/Control Number: 09/835,992

Art Unit: 1642

The specification teaches that use of the SEREX method resulted in the detection of SEQ ID NOS: 19, 20, 21 and 22 in tumor cells of patients diagnosed with gastric cancer. The claims are drawn to methods comprising the detection of antibodies that bind to proteins encoded by any of SEQ ID NOS: 19, 20, 21, or 22, where the methods are drawn to methods for the detection of gastric cancer or related conditions, or the methods are drawn to methods for following progress of a therapeutic regime designed to alleviate gastric cancer or a related condition. Therefore, the claims are drawn to methods for detection of, or following the progress of a genus of conditions. The specification fails to describe any other conditions that may be associated with detection of antibodies that bind to the protein products of SEQ ID NOS: 19, 20, 21 and 22. The specification fails to provide a definition of what constitutes a condition that is "related" to gastric cancer. Therefore, the specification fails to describe the genus of conditions to be detected or monitored by the claimed methods, applicant does not appear to have been in possession of the claimed methods at the time the application was filed.

7. Claims 13-15, 31 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as containing subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention.

Factors to be considered in determining whether undue experimentation would be required to practice the full scope of the claimed inventions are: 1) quantity of experimentation necessary; 2) the amount of direction or guidance presented in the specification; 3) the presence or absence of working examples; 4) the nature of the invention; 5) the state of the prior art; 6) the

Art Unit: 1642

relative skill of those in the art; 7) the predictability or unpredictability of the art; and 8) the breadth of the claims. See Ex parte Forman, 230 USPQ 546, BPAI, 1986.

Claims 13-15, 31 and 32 are rejected for lack of enablement, because the specification fails to teach that the detection of antibodies that specifically binds sterol carrier protein-X/sterol carrier protein-2, or of antibodies that bind to proteins encoded by any of SEQ ID Nos: 19, 20, 21 and 22, can be used to determine gastric cancer or to monitor the progress of a gastric cancer patient. The specification also fails to provide any teachings that the presence of such antibodies in the sera of individuals is associated with any other disease, and therefore, fails to show that the presence of such antibodies are diagnostic of any disease or can be used in methods to monitor the progress of any disease.

The specification teaches that the SEREX method was used to find novel cancer antigens in gastric cancer patients. Sera from patients having gastric cancer were used to screen clones derived from cDNA libraries. The specification teaches that among the sequences identified were the nucleotide sequences of SEQ ID NOS: 19, 20, 21 and 22, which appear to be part of the coding sequence of sterol carrier protein-X/sterol carrier protein-2. Thus, the experiments described in the specification demonstrate that some gastric cancer patients possess antibodies that bind to sterol carrier protein-X/sterol carrier protein-2, but the specification fails to demonstrate that the existence of these antibodies is specific to gastric cancer patients or any other type of cancer or disease.

The SEREX method is used to identify potential cancer antigens, but further experimental work must be done to establish that proteins or nucleic acids, or the antibodies that bind to the proteins are useful in methods of diagnosis or disease monitoring. Zhang (Zhang, H.

Art Unit: 1642

et al. Zhongguo Mianyixue Zazhi 18(2): 98-101, 2002; Abstract only) teaches that the SEREX method was used to identify ovarian cancer antigens, MY-OVA-2, 7 and 13. However, when the purified proteins were used to test the seroreactivity of patients and healthy controls, only 1 out of the three putative antigens was found in tumor patients and not the normal controls.

Sterol carrier protein-X/sterol carrier protein-2 has been sequenced, and the human sequence is taught by Ohba (Ohba, T. et al., Genomics 24(2): 370-374, 1994; see NCBI record, accession no. I38205). The prior art does not teach or suggest that sterol carrier protein-X/sterol carrier protein-2 is abberantly expressed, mutated or overexpressed in any type of cancer, or in any other disease.

In view of the failure of the specification to establish that the existence of sterol carrier protein-X/sterol carrier protein-2 antibodies is diagnostic for gastric cancer, or any other disease, and in view of the teachings of Zhang that SEREX-identified antigens are not always useful for screening patients for cancer, it appears that it is unpredictable that methods comprising the detection of antibodies that bind to sterol carrier protein-x/sterol carrier protein-2 could be used to diagnose gastric cancer, monitor gastric cancer, or diagnose or monitor any other disease.

Thus, the specification appears to present nothing more than an invitation to experiment for the purpose of discovering an association, if any exists, between antibodies to sterol carrier protein-x/sterol carrier protein-2 and any disease.

Conclusion

No claim is allowed.

Page 7

Art Unit: 1642

Application/Control Number: 09/835,992

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the Office should be directed to Anne Holleran, Ph.D. whose telephone number is (703) 308-8892. Examiner Holleran can normally be reached Monday through Friday, 9:30 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Caputa, Ph.D. can be reached at (703) 308-3995.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist at telephone number (703) 308-0196.

ALIF

Anne L. Holleran Patent Examiner March 9, 2003

ANTHONY C. CAPUTA
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600